1 2	F. ANDINO REYNAL		
3	•		
4			
5	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
6	DISTRICT OF N	NEVADA	
7	7 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 23-cr-00002-RFB-VCF	
8	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Stipulation to Continue Sentencing (Second Request)	
9	v.		
10	) LUIGI J. MONTES,		
11	Defendant.		
12			
13	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG	REED, by and between Jason M. Frierson	
14	United States Attorney for the District of Nevada, and Jim Fang, Assistant United States Attorney,		
15	and F. Andino Reynal, counsel for Defendant Luigi	and F. Andino Reynal, counsel for Defendant Luigi Montes, that the sentencing hearing currently	
16	scheduled for September 15, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. (ECF No. 124) is continued for no sooner than 60		
17	days, to a date and time convenient to this Court.	This stipulation is made and based upon the	
18	g following:	following:	
19		16 2022 1 11 11 11 11 12	
20		ruary 16, 2023, pleading guilty to Count One	
21	of the Criminal Information, Conspiracy to C	Commit Mail Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C.	
	§1341. ECF Nos. 102.		
22	2. Sentencing is currently scheduled for Septem	nber 15, 2023, at 9:00 a.m., ECF No. 124.	
23	3. Probation released its draft PSR on April 23	5, 2023. Defense counsel is working closely	
24		ming sentencing, but is still in the process of	

1		obtaining various documentation relevant to Mr. Montes' sentencing memorandum and
2		hearing.
3	4.	Mr. Montes is on release and does not oppose the continuance request.
4	5.	This is the third request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing.
5	6.	The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay.
6	7.	The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.
7		32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits
8		prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not
9		implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States
10		Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct.
11		1609, 1617-18 (2016).
12	8.	Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Montes sufficient time
13		to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence.
14		Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
15	DATED this 5th day of September, 2023.	
16		
17	T 1 T'	
18	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
19	Couns	elfor the United States Counselfor Defendant Montes
20		
21		CERTIFICATE OF ELECTRONIC SERVICE
22	. •	The undersigned hereby certifies that on the 5th day of September, 2023, a copy of this
23	motio	n was served on all counsel of record by the Court's ECF service.
24		Isf F. Andino Reynal

1 2 3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 5 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, 6 Case No. 23-cr-00002-RFB-VCF Plaintiff, 7 FINDINGS OF FACT, CONCLUSIONS OF LAW, AND V. 8 ORDER. LUIGI J. MONTES, 9 Defendant. 10 11 FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW 12 Based on the pending stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the Court 13 finds that: 14 I. Mr. Montes entered a change of plea on February 16, 2023, pleading guilty to Count One 15 of the Criminal Information, Conspiracy to Commit Mail Fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. 16 §1341. ECF Nos. 102, 103. 17 2. Sentencing is currently scheduled for September 15, 2023, at 9:00 a.m. ECF No. 124. 18 3. Defense counsel is in the process of obtaining various documentation relevant to Mr. 19 Montes' sentencing memorandum and hearing. 20 4. Mr. Montes is on release and does not oppose the continuance request. 21 5. This is the third request for a continuance of the sentencing hearing. 22 6. The additional time requested herein is sought in good faith and not for purposes of delay. 23 24

1	7.	The additional time requested by this stipulation is reasonable pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P.
2		32(b)(2), which states that the "court may, for good cause, change any time limits
3		prescribed [for sentencing] in this rule." Furthermore, a delay in sentencing does not
4		implicate or undermine the defendant's speedy trial rights under the United States
5		Constitution, which terminated upon conviction. See Betterman v. Montana, 136 S.Ct.
6		1609, 1617-18 (2016).
7	8.	Denial of this request for continuance would deny counsel for Mr. Montes sufficient time
8		to effectively and thoroughly prepare for sentencing, taking into account due diligence.
9		Accordingly, a denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		

1	
2	ORDER
3	Based upon the stipulation of the parties, and good cause appearing, it is hereby
4	ORDERED that Mr. Montes' sentencing hearing currently scheduled September 15, 2023, at
5	9:00 a.m., be VACATED.
6	IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the sentencing hearing is reset
7	for November 16, 2023 at 8:30 a.m.
8	
9	DATED: September 6, 2023
10	
11	
12	THE HONORABLE RICHARD F. BOULWARE
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	